NOV 2 1 2005



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Susana CURATOLO

Conf. No.:

3621

Serial No.:

10/751,946

Art Unit:

2854

Filed:

January 7, 2004

Examiner:

Mark H. PASCHALL

For:

MELTING AND VAPORIZATION APPARATUS AND METHOD

Mail Stop Amendments P.O. Box 1450 Barnes & Thornburg Customer No:

23646

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is an Amendment for filing, and the additional claims filing fee is calculated below:

For	No. After Amendment	Highest No. Previously Filed	No. Extra	Large Entity/ Small Entity	Fee
Total Claims:	21	20	1	x \$50/25 =	\$25.00
Independent Claims:	7	5	2	x \$200/100 =	\$200.00
TOTAL FEES:					\$225.00

A check in the amount of \$225.00 is enclosed. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Account No. 02-1010 (32492/41888).

Respectfully submitted,

BARNES & THORNBURG LLP

Perry Palan

Registration No. 26,213

(202) 289-1313

Attorney Docket: 32492/41888

PATENT

Upon review of the pending claims, it will be evident that they are allowable over the art and thus should pass this case to issue is thankfully solicited. The allowance of claims 3-8 and 18 are noted.

Respectfully submitted,

BARNES & THORNBURG LLP

Perry Palan

Reg. No. 26,213

Attorney Docket: 32492/41888 PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

cant: Susana CURATOLO

Conf. No.: 3621

Serial No.:

10/751,946

Art Unit:

3742

Filed:

January 7, 2004

Examiner:

Mark H. PASCHALL

For:

NON 5 1 5002

MELTING AND VAPORIZATION APPARATUS AND METHOD

AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the official Patent Office action dated September 14, 2005, please amend the claims as shown in the attached Claim Summary.

REMARKS

Responsive to the Office Action dated September 14, 2005, and as a result of the informative and productive interview of November 18, 2005, Applicant has amended the claims to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the present invention.

Claims 1, 2 and 9-17 stand rejected under 35 U.S. C. § 103 as unpatentable over Mizaki in view of Ware. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 1 is directed to a method of melting a solid including inserting the solid into a tapered envelope; sealing the envelope at a preset pressure and heating the solid in an oven at a temperature substantially below the melting temperature of the solid at the preset pressure for time sufficient to melt the solid.

The rejection considers the envelope 1 in Mizaki as elliptical. This possibly elliptical shape is not a tapered structure. Thus the spherical structure of Ware and the non-tapered structure of Mizaki cannot meet the limitations of amended Claims 1 and 9. Thus, these claims are dependent claims are considered allowable over the art.

New claims 19-22 have been added and are supported by the description beginning with paragraph 26 of the specification. They are considered allowable over the art of record.